MYCOTAXON

Volume 110, pp. 399-412

October–December 2009

Tuber pseudoexcavatum versus T. pseudohimalayense new data on the molecular taxonomy and mycorrhizae of Chinese truffles

José L. Manjón¹, Luis G. García-Montero^{2*}, Pablo Alvarado¹, Gabriel Moreno¹ & Gabriella Di Massimo³

josel.manjon@uah.es *luisgonzaga.garcia@upm.es

¹Dept. Biología Vegetal, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Alcalá 28871 Alcalá de Henares (Madrid), Spain

²Dept. Forest Engineering, U.D. Operaciones Básicas, E.T.S.I. Montes Technical University of Madrid (UPM) Ciudad Universitaria s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain

³Dept. di Biologia Vegetale, Universitá degli studi di Perugia Borgo XX Giugno 74, 06121 Perugia, Italy

Abstract — The study of Chinese *Tuber* species available in European markets began 14 years ago. *T. pseudohimalayense* was proposed as a new species but has been questioned. We evaluated the validity of *T. pseudohimalayense* by comparing the molecular genetics and ectomycorrhizal morphology of *T. pseudohimalayense*, *T. pseudoexcavatum*, and *T. indicum*. As a result of these studies, we propose *T. pseudoexcavatum* to represent a synonym of *T. pseudohimalayense*.

Key words — Tuberaceae, hypogeous fungus

Introduction

Several Chinese *Tuber* species have become commercially available in European markets in recent decades. *Tuber pseudohimalayense* G. Moreno et al. (1997) and *T. pseudoexcavatum* Y. Wang et al. (1998) were found in Spanish markets and proposed as new species.

Tuber pseudoexcavatum has been regarded as common, as confirmed by molecular phylogenic and taxonomic studies (Riousset et al. 2001, Zhang et al. 2005, Wang et al. 2006b). *Tuber pseudohimalayense* appeared to be much rarer. Di Massimo et al. (1998) found *T. pseudohimalayense* in Chinese truffle shipments in Italian truffle markets, and Zhang et al. (2005) and Wang et al. (2006a) cited it from China.

400 ... Manjón & al.

Wang & Hall (2001), who reported that *T. pseudohimalayense* closely resembles *T. sinense* K. Tao & B. Liu, proposed using molecular tools to clarify the taxonomy of this and other truffles from southwestern China. Zhang et al. (2005) synonymized *T. pseudohimalayense* and *T. sinense* with *T. indicum* Cooke & Massee based on morphological studies and rDNA ITS sequence analyses.

Wang et al. (2006a) studied the genetics and phylogeography of Chinese *Tuber* species. On the basis of the ITS and β -tubulin gene sequences they concluded that differences in the taxonomic characters of *T. indicum* Cooke & Massee, *T. sinense*, *T. pseudohimalayense*, and *T. himalayense* B.C. Zhang & Minter represented normal variations within a single species, *T. indicum*.

However, Zhang et al. (2005) and Wang et al. (2006a) were unable to study the *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype collection in the University of Alcalá Herbarium (AH 18331), which was unwilling to risk its loan to another country as the type consists of only one small piece of an ascoma (Moreno et al. 1997).

Comandini & Pacioni (1997) and Zambonelli et al. (1997) synthesized *T. indicum* ectomycorrhizae. In the University of Alcalá (Spain), *T. pseudo-himalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* ectomycorrhizae were also synthesized using spores from ascomata of the type collections of both species (holotype of *T. pseudohimalayense* and an isotype of *T. pseudoexcavatum* AH 18387). We found that *T. pseudohimalayense* ectomycorrhizae had a morphological affinity with *T. indicum* ectomycorrhizae; however, they even more resembled *T. pseudoexcavatum* ectomycorrhizae (Manjón et al. 1998, García-Montero et al. 2008).

We here report results of genetic studies of ascomata from types of *T. pseudo-himalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum*, plus samples of *T. indicum* and describe the morphology of *T. pseudohimalayense* ectomycorrhizae in comparison with those of *T. indicum* and *T. pseudoexcavatum*. Our aim was to assess the validity of *T. pseudohimalayense* and increase our knowledge of the genetics of Chinese *Tuber* taxa.

Material and methods

MATERIAL EXAMINED: *Tuber pseudohimalayense* (holotype, AH 18331) probably imported from China, January 1995; *Tuber pseudoexcavatum* (isotype, AH 18387) imported from China from pine forests in Yunnan, January 1995; *Tuber indicum* (AH 18329), probably imported from China, January 1995; *Tuber indicum* holotype (K 39493) and *Tuber himalayense* isotype (K 32236) from the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew; and samples of *Tuber sinense* (personal collection provided by Y. Wang, with immature ascospores).

MOLECULAR METHODS: Total DNA from *T. pseudohimalayense* and its putative closest relatives among Chinese *Tuber* species (TABLE 1) was extracted by means of

Species	Herbarium Code	Type collection	ITS GenBank#	28S LSU GenBank#	mtLSU GenBank#
T. pseudohimalayense	AH 18331	Holotypus		FJ233104	FJ792795
T. pseudoexcavatum	AH 18387	Isotypus		FJ233103	FJ792794
T. indicum	AH 18329	-	FJ233102	FJ233102	

TABLE 1. Samples included in molecular comparisons

the MasterPure[™] DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, US) following the manufacturer's instructions. An additional piece of T. pseudohimalayense was included to sonicate spores for two periods of 60s in a Cell Disruptor B15 sonifier (Branson). The sample was checked periodically under the microscope to insure spore rupture. After extraction, 1.5 µl resuspended DNA was added to a 50µl PCR mixture with the following concentrations: 1u EcoTaq DNA polymerase with 1× EcoTaq Buffer (Ecogene), MgCl, 2mM, DNTPs 0.2 mM each. 28S LSU primers U2 (5' - GAC TCC TTG GTC CGT GTT - 3', Sandhu et al. 1995) and LR1 (5' - GCA TAT CAA TAA GCG GAG GA - 3', Van Tuinen et al. 1998), and mitochondrial large ribosomal subunit (mtLSU) primers ML3 (5' - GCT GGT TTT CTA CGA AAC ATA TTT AAG - 3', White et al., 1990) and ML4 (5' - GAG GAT AAT TTG CCG AGT TCC - 3', White et al., 1990) were added at 0.5µM each. PCR reactions were performed under a program consisting of a hot start at 95 °C for 5 min, five cycles at 94 °C, 50 °C and 72 °C (45, 30 and 45 sec, respectively), followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C, 54 °C and 72 °C (45, 30 and 45 sec, respectively) and a final 72 °C step for 10 min. T. indicum was amplified once, while T. pseudoexcavatum and T. pseudohimalayense were amplified twice. PCR products were checked in a 1% agarose gel prior to purification in Sephadex G-50 superfine columns and sequenced in an ABI 3130 sequencer with BigDye Terminator 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, US) with the same amplification primers as in the sequencing reaction. The sequences were then loaded in MEGA software and visually compared to chromatograms to check for peak reading failures. Sequences were entered into GenBank under the codes specified in TABLE 1.

MICROSCOPY: For light microscopy, the hand-sectioned samples were mounted in Hoyer's medium. For scan electron microscopy (SEM), the material was rehydrated in concentrated ammonium hydroxide (28–30%) for 30 min, dehydrated in aqueous ethanol (70%) for 30 min, fixed for 2 hr in pure ethylene glycol dimethyl ether (= 1,2-dimethoxymethane) and finally immersed in pure acetone for at least 2 hr, followed by critical point drying and spattering with gold-palladium. This technique uses very little material. The micrographs were taken at the University of Alcalá with a Zeiss DSM–950 SEM. Spore were measured under the oil immersion objective of a light microscope including the ornamentation (Moreno et al. 1997).

DESCRIPTIONS OF ECTOMYCORRHIZAE: We synthesized *T. pseudohimalayense* ectomycorrhizae with *Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* (Desf.) Samp. using spores from the type by applying Bencivenga's (1982) method as modified by Manjón & García-Montero (1996). Plants were grown under controlled environmental conditions in a glasshouse

in the Juan Carlos I Royal Botanical Garden at the University of Alcalá (Madrid, Spain). Mycorrhization of each plant was expressed as the number of *Tuber* mycorrhizae over the total number of non-mycorrhizal tips (Bencivenga et al. 1987). The ectomycorrhiza color was described following the Munsell standard soil color charts (Munsell 1976). Ectomycorrhizae were identified with a stereoscopic microscope (photo-Leica WildMZ8) and a light microscope (photo-Leica LeitzDMRB) as recommended by Agerer (1987–2002), Zambonelli et al. (1993), and Granetti (1995). Mycorrhizae characters of related species were taken from the literature (TABLE 3).

Results

MOLECULAR ANALYSIS: Amplified partial 28S LSU and mtLSU sequences from the manually extracted samples of *Tuber pseudoexcavatum* and *T. pseudohimalayense* and the sonicated sample of *T. pseudohimalayense* are identical to each other but different from *T. indicum*. Further validation by means of rDNA ITS amplification with primers ITS5 (5' – GGA AGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG G– 3', White et al., 1990) and ITS4 (5' –TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC– 3', White et al., 1990) was unsuccessful for all samples except *T. indicum*, probably due to sample age or deterioration. Comparison of the *T. indicum* ITS sequence to public databases by means of nucleotide BLAST search in NCBI web service (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) showed almost complete identity (99%) with the other *T. indicum* sequences. These indicate *T. pseudoexcavatum* and *T. pseudohimalayense* belong to a single taxon, unrelated to *T. indicum* (FIGS. 1–2).

MORPHOLOGY: Because the molecular analysis indicated that *T. pseudo-himalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* represented the same species, we reexamined their macro and microscopic characters. The holotype fragment of *T. pseudohimalayense* has a brown peridium and a slight excavation similar to that of the *T. pseudoexcavatum* ascoma, characters that were overlooked when *T. pseudohimalayense* was originally described. The *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype shows 1–7 spored asci and 1–8 spored asci occur in the species as a whole. Peridium and spores of the two species do not differ (TABLE 2; FIGS. 3–4).

ECTOMYCORRHIZAE: The root tips of *Q. ilex* subsp. *ballota* seedlings inoculated with *T. pseudohimalayense* averaged 50% mycorrhization (standard deviation \pm 17). No ectomycorrhizae formed by other fungi were detected. *Tuber pseudohimalayense* ectomycorrhizae (FIG. 5) were concentrated in the proximal and median part of the root system. Therefore, the techniques for inoculating and producing mycorrhized plants, as well as the substrates used, gave good results for this truffle species. Macro- and micromorphology of ectomycorrhizae of *T. melanosporum* Vittad. and four Chinese *Tuber* spp. are compared in TABLES 3–4.

T.IND AY294006	GGCCAATCTATAGGTTGACC	TCGCCTTAAGCTTATGGTAT	AGATAAAAGTAACGGCCTCT
T.PSEX FJ233103			
T.PSHIM FJ233104			G.
		2002220020020222222000	
T.IND AY294006	AAGTTTTATTAACCTAAAGG	ACTAAACGATGAGAAAACCT	IGITIATAAAGTAATAACCI
T.PSEX FJ233103			
T.PSHIM FJ233104			
T.IND AY294006	TTAAATTITTAAACATTCTG	GGCTCGCACGCCCTCACTCT	CTTTAGGAGTGAGTGATCGC
T.PSEX FJ233103		G	A
T.PSHIM FJ233104		G	A
		ma a ma a ma a ma a a a	
T.IND AY294006	GCCCCAATATCTGATGTAAA	TAATAAGTGATGAAGA	
T.PSEX FJ233103			
T.PSHIM FJ233104		C	

FIG 1. Partial alignment of the mitochondrial large ribosomal subunit (mtLSU) of the studied samples. Conserved bases (-), deleted bases (-).

T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103	GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGA	AAAGAAACCAACAGGGATTG	CCCTAGTAACGGCGAGTGAA TC
T.IND FJ233102	GCGGCAAAAGCTCAAATTTG	AAATCTGGCATCTTTGGTGT	CCGAATTGTAATTTGGAGAG
T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104		ACC	TG TG.
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	GCAACTTCAGGTAGGACCCA	GTCTATGTTCCTTGGAACAG .C	GACGTCATAGAGGGTGAGAA G
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	TCCCGTTCTTGACTGGATGT TGG .TGG	TCTTGCTAGTATGTAGTGCC .TC.ACC .TC.ACC.	TTCTACGAGTCGAGTTGTTT
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	GGGAATGCAGCTCAAAATGG	GTGGTAAATTTCATCTAAAG	CTAAATATTGGCGAGAGACC
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	GATAGCGCACAAGTAGAGTG	ATCGAAAGATGAAAAGCACT	TTGAAAATAGAGTCAAAAAG
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	TACGTGAAATTGTTGAAAGG	GAAGCGCTTGAGACCAGACT	CAGCCTTTGGCAAACAAGTG TA. TA.
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	TCCTTCTGGGCAGTGCACTT TAATT TAATT	GCCTCCGGGTTGGGCCAGTA .TTCC. .TTCC.	TCAGTTAGGATGGTAGGAGA
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	AAGGCTAGGGGGAATGTGACT T.GAA T.GAA	CCTATCCGGAGTGTTATAGA ATT	CCCTGGCGTCATGCTACCTG TT.CAT.A TT.CAT.A
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	TCCTTGACTGTGGACCGCGC	GTTAGCTAGGATACTGGCGT TGA. TGA.	AATGGTCTTCAGCGGCCCGT
T.IND FJ233102 T.PSEX FJ233103 T.PSHIM FJ233104	CTTGAAACACGGACAACGGA	GTC 	

FIG. 2. Partial alignment of the genomic large ribosomal subunit (nrLSU 28S) of the studied samples. Conserved bases (-), deleted bases (-).



FIG. 3. *Tuber pseudoexcavatum* (Wang et al. 1998). 1) Detail of the external covering of the peridium. 2) Thick-walled globose cells of the external covering of the peridium. 3) Plectenchymal cells of the internal covering of the peridium. 4–6) Globose ascus with 3 to 8 ascospores, where the spiny-reticular ornamentation is apparent. 7–8) Thorny reticulations of spores, under the S.E.M.

(Bars: $1 = 100 \ \mu\text{m}$; $2 = 10 \ \mu\text{m}$; $3 = 20 \ \mu\text{m}$; $4-6 = 10 \ \mu\text{m}$; $7-8 = 5 \ \mu\text{m}$).



FIG. 4. *Tuber pseudohimalayense* (Moreno et al. 1997). 1) Detail of the external covering of the peridium. 2) Thick-walled globose cells of the external covering of the peridium. 3) Plectenchymal cells of the internal covering of the peridium. 4–5) Ascospores, where the spiny-reticular ornamentation is apparent. 6) Globose ascus with 3 to 7 ascospores. 7–8) Thorny reticulations of spores, under the S.E.M.

(Bars: $9 = 100 \ \mu\text{m}$; $10 = 20 \ \mu\text{m}$; $11-14 = 10 \ \mu\text{m}$; $15 = 5 \ \mu\text{m}$; $16 = 2 \ \mu\text{m}$).

		77.1. 1		
Species	T. indicum ¹	<i>1. htmalayense</i>	T. pseudo- himalayense ²	T. pseudo- excavatum ³
Ascocarp	globose to ellipsoidal	+/- globose	subglobose	subglobose, deeply excavate
Color and surface	black or slightly greyish	black	warts black (+/- pyramidal, wide, flat)	brown to brown-orange, coarsely warted
Peridium (µm)	550-700	700-800	200-500 broad	290-500 thick
Asci (number)	(1-)3-5(-6)	(1-)2-4(-5)	1-7	1-8
Ascospores	ellipsoid	ellipsoidal to	ellipsoidal	ellipsoidal
(µm, including ornamentation)	30–42 × 23–32	globose $28-45 \times 23-40$	18-35 × 16-30	29-33 × 21-24
Ornamentation	spines, often slightly hooked	variable, most reticulate, occ. spiny	spines in netted reticulum	spines (5–8 μm tall) in reticulation

TABLE 2. Comparison of fruit body characters in Chinese *Tuber* species

¹ Zhang & Minter (1988); ² Moreno et al. (1997); ³ Wang et al. (1998).

Description of Tuber pseudohimalayense ectomycorrhizae

MYCORRHIZAE in youth slightly club-shaped to almost cylindrical and lacking branches, with age often becoming pinnate branched in a monopodial-pinnate pattern; unbranched ends \leq 1500 µm × 180 µm, straight; dark brown (7.5 YR 6/6) mycorrhizal tips color; surface smooth with long cystidia especially on the tip; rhizomorphs absent (FIG. 5).

CYSTIDIA sinuous to straight, yellowish; diameter: $2-3.3 \mu m$; septa distance: $20-35 \mu m$; hyphal smooth; often branching near base, with 30% of the cystidia branched in approx. 90° angle.

OUTER MANTLE pseudoparenchymatous, composed of pseudocells with very variable form from irregular polygonal to sinuous cells. Surface extremely irregular with puzzle-like appearance; hyphal pseudocells: $(10-)12-30(-32) \times (4-)5-12(-13) \mu m$. Inner mantle with a similar irregular and puzzle-like appearance. Total mantle thickness 11-35 μm .

HARTIG NET present in 2–3 rows of host cortical cells.

Discussion

Ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity is an increasingly complex patchwork (Rinaldi et al. 2008), and *Tuber*, especially in its Chinese range, is not an exception. Moreno et al. (1997) and Di Massimo et al. (1998) proposed *T. pseudohimalayense* as a species characterised microscopically by its peculiar, thick-walled, dark brown ascospores, having an ornamentation of spines with broad basal connections

-		6	4		
Species	T. melanosporum ¹	T. indicum ²	T. himalayense ²	$T.$ pseudoexcavatum 3	T. pseudohimalayense
HosT	Quercus pubescens, Corylus avellana, Pinus sylvestris	Quercus pubescens, Q. cerris	Quercus pubescens	Quercus ilex subsp. ballota	Quercus ilex subsp. ballota
RAMIFICATION	simple & clavate with round apex, or monopodial- pinnately branched	simple & monopodial-pinnate or dichotomous branched	mostly unbranched; also branched & monopodial- pinnate	sl.clavate to almost cylindrical & unbranched when very young or branched & monopodial-pinnate	sl. clavate to almost cylindrical & unbranched when very young or branched & monopodial-pinnate
Unramified ends (length)	200–4000 µm long	150–1620 µm long	235–1200 µm long	≤ 2500 µm long	≤ 1500 µm long
(diameter)	2300–450 μm diam	1200–540 µm diam	1500–250 μm diam	≤ 240 µm diam	≤ 180 µm diam
(color) ⁴	dark amber to ochre	ochreous-amber 7.5 YR 6/6	ochreous-amber 10 YR 5/8	dark brown 7.5 YR 6/6	dark brown 7.5 YR 6/6
Long cystibla (on smooth surface)	primarily on tip	loose, primarily on tip, less abundant in age	loose, primarily on outer surface, less abundant in age	primarily on tip	primarily on tip
¹ Granetti (1995) an ⁴ Munsell (1976	nd Zambonelli et al. (1993 6) standard soil color cha	3); ² Zambonelli et al. (1997) ırts.	and/or Comandini & Pa	cioni (1997); ³ García-Montero e	t al. (2008);

TABLE 3. Comparison of ectomy corrhizal morphology in Chinese Tuber species observed in international markets

Tuber pseudoexcavatum vs. T. pseudohimalayense ... 407

that form a regular net reticulum composed of variably sized meshes across the whole spore surface. The *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype presents a peridium of 200–500 µm, thinner than that of *T. indicum* (550–700 µm), *T. himalayense* (700–800 µm), and *T. sinense* (550–1300 µm). The cell morphology of the inner and outer layers of the peridium of *T. pseudohimalayense* differs from the other Chinese truffles (see the photographs and descriptions of Manjón et al. 1995; Di Massimo et al. 1996, 1998; Moreno et al. 1997). Finally, *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype asci (1–7 spored) generally contain more spores than *T. indicum*, with 3-5(-6) spored asci, *T. himalayense* with 2-4(-5) spored asci, and *T. sinense* with 1–4 spored asci (TABLE 2).

When proposing the new Chinese truffle taxa, we did not examine the differences between *T. pseudohimalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* in any further detail, as their ascomata appeared so very different (TABLE 2). This important omission was shown in the description of *T. pseudoexcavatum*, which reports only that its ascomata differ from *T. sinense*, *T. gigantosporum* Y. Wang & Z.P. Li, *T. indicum*, *T. himalayense*, and *T. pseudohimalayense* in their excavate ascomata and their 8-spored asci. In TABLE 2 and FIGURES 3 and 4, we summarise the taxonomical characters of the *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype versus the *T. pseudoexcavatum* holotype (Moreno et al. 1997, Wang et al. 1998). We now can confirm the strong resemblance of the *T. pseudohimalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* holotypes, except that *T. pseudohimalayense* asci range from 1–7 spored vs *T. pseudoexcavatum* that range from 1–8 spored.

Genetic studies on the type collections show that *T. pseudohimalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* represent a single species that is different from *T. indicum*. Our study of voucher collections clearly indicates that *T. pseudohimalayense* was misidentified and provides additional molecular data on the *T. pseudoexcavatum* holotype. 28S LSU and mtLSU sequences were obtained instead of ITS, because the latter failed to be amplify for the *T. pseudohimalayense* holotype, probably due to its poor state of preservation.

Our present proposal of conspecificity of *T. pseudohimalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* is further confirmed by the morphological similarity of their ectomycorrhizae, which are clearly distinguishable from *T. indicum* ectomycorrhizae (TABLES 3–4): they are thinner and darker than *T. indicum* ectomycorrhizae, and their outer mantle pseudocells are much larger and more often irregular and sinuous (puzzle form) than those of *T. indicum*.

Accordingly we propose *T. pseudoexcavatum* to be a synonym of the earlier named *T. pseudohimalayense*:

Tuber pseudohimalayense G. Moreno, Manjón, J. Díez & García-Mont., in Moreno et al., Mycotaxon 63: 218 (1997).

 T. pseudoexcavatum Y. Wang, G. Moreno, Riousset, Manjón & G. Riousset, in Wang et al., Cryptogamie Mycologie 19: 115 (1998).



FIG. 5. Ectomycorrhizae of *Tuber pseudohimalayense*. 1) Macroscopic appearance of ectomycorrhiza ($50\times$). 2) Detail of cystidia with right angle-like ramifications ($400\times$). 3) Outer surface of the mantle appearance with a general feature of very irregular puzzle-like shape ($100\times$). 4) Hyphal pseudocells of the outer surface of the mantle with polygon-shaped pseudocells alternating with cells with a sinuous form ($1000\times$)

(Bars: $1 = 500 \ \mu m$; $2-4 = 10 \ \mu m$).

SPECIES	T. melanosporum ¹	T. indicum ²	T. himalayense ²	T. pseudoexcavatum ³	T. pseudohimalayense
MANTLE SURFACE PATTERN (pseudo- parenchymatous)	Puzzle-like: individual cells rounded, with well-defined irregular lobes	Puzzle-like, very heterogeneous, individual pseudocells of two types: rounded & regular and polygonal [mostly smaller & less lobed than in <i>T.</i> <i>melanosporum</i>]	Puzzle-like, rather homogeneous; individual pseudocells rectangular, more or less elongated, frequently ramified	Puzzle-like, quite regular, homogeneous; individual pseudocells s-shaped (sinuous)	Puzzle-like, extremely irregular; individual pseudocells of two types: 4–5 sided polygon- shaped and s-shaped (sinuous)
Hyphae (exterior c	limensions)				
Length Width	10.6 (± 2.4) μm (mean) 4.6 (± 1) μm (mean)	(8-) 10-16 (-24) μm (4-) 5-6 (-10) μm	(7-) 10-16 (-18) μm 4-6 μm	(8-) 10-25 (-26) μm (5-) 6-16 (-17) μm	(10-) 12-30 (-32) μm (4-) 5-12 (-13) μm
Cystidia Form	≤ 300 µm long; straight; frequent ~90° and 45° ramifications	≤ 300 µm long; frequent ~90° ramifications	often very long, ≤ 300 µm; ~90° ramifications	very long, sinuous to straight, sectioned; 15% with frequent ~90° ramifications	very long, sinuous to straight, sectioned; 30% with frequent ~90° ramifications
Color	Hyaline	Pale yellow	1	Yellowish	Yellowish
Plinth diam	(2.8–)3.5(–4.4) μm (mean)	2-4(-8) ~90° [tip = 2-3(-6) μm]	2–3 µm	2–4 µm	2–3 µm
Septa distance		25–35 µm	20–40 µm	25–35 µm	20–35 µm
¹ Granetti (1995) aı	1d Zambonelli et al. (1993); ²	Zambonelli et al. (1997) and/or	r Comandini & Pacioni (1997	7); ³ García-Montero et al. (2008).

410 ... Manjón & al.

TABLE 4. Comparison of ectomycorrhizal anatomy in Chinese *Tuber* species observed in international markets

Macro and microscopic differences initially observed between the *T. pseudo-himalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum* holotypes can be explained by gaps in their fruitbody development, maturation stages, and perhaps by differences in the preservation treatments used in the commercial shipments of Chinese truffles. In short, to avoid potential ecological problems in Europe it is essential to know more about the taxonomy, genetics, and morphology of the mycorrhizae of Chinese truffles, so that public agencies can accurately monitor truffle-inoculated seedlings.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Mycotaxon Editors Dr. Lorelei Norvell and Dr. Shaun Pennycook for their corrections and support, and to Dr. Alessandra Zambonelli and Dr. Ornella Comandini for serving as pre-submission reviewers and for their valuable corrections and suggestions. We thank Javier Rejos (AH Curator) and the Royal Botanic Gardens (Kew) for their support. We thank also to Margarita, Luis, Miriam and Pablo. We are grateful to M^a Cruz Gómez-Llano, Faustino Correas and the University Library of E.T.S.I. Montes (UPM), and Prudence Brooke-Turner for her linguistic assistance. This work has been sponsored by the INIA Project of (SC94-129), by the DGICYT Project of (PB91-0165), the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha project PAI08-0240-5097, Spanish Ministry of Education and Science grant AP2006-00890, and by the Caja de Madrid Foundation.

Literature cited

- Agerer R. 1987–2002. Colour atlas of ectomycorrhizae. Einhorn-Verlag, Schwabsh-Gmund. 168 pp.
- Bencivenga M, Ferrara AM, Fontana A, Granetti B, Gregori G, Lo Bue G, Palenzona M, Rebaudengo E, Tocci A, Zambonelli A. 1987. Valutazione dello stato di micorrizazione di piante tartufigene. Proposta di un metodo. Ministero dell'Agricultura e Foreste, Roma. 14 pp.
- Bencivenga M. 1982. Alcune metodiche di micorrizazione di piante forestali con il tartufo nero pregiato di Norcia o di Spoleto (*Tuber melanosporum* Vittad.). L' Informatore Agrario 38: 21155–21163.
- Comandini O, Pacioni G. 1997. Mycorrhizae of Asian black truffles, *Tuber himalayense* and *T. indicum*. Mycotaxon 63: 77–86.
- Di Massimo G, Bencivenga M, Tedeschini E, García-Montero LG, Manjón JL. 1998. Nuova specie di *Tuber* importata dall'oriente. Micologia Italiana 27: 13–18.
- Di Massimo G, García-Montero LG, Bencivenga M, Manjón JL. 1996. *Tuber indicum* Cooke et Massee, un tartufo orientale simile a *T. melanosporum* Vitt. Micologia & Vegetazione Mediteranea 11: 107–114.
- García-Montero LG, Di Massimo G, Manjón JL, García-Abril A. 2008. New data on ectomycorrhizae and soils of the Chinese truffles *Tuber pseudoexcavatum* and *T. indicum*, and their impact on truffle cultivation. Mycorrhiza 19: 7–14.
- Granetti B. 1995. Caratteristiche morfologiche, biometriche e strutturali delle micorrize di *Tuber* di interesse economico. Micologia Italiana 2: 101–117.

- Manjón JL, García-Montero LG. 1996. Síntesis micorrícica con esporas de *Tuber malenconii* y otros hongos con aplicación forestal y en la truficultura. Boletín Sociedad Micologica de Madrid 21: 397–400.
- Manjón JL, García-Montero LG, Di Massimo G. 1998. Mycorrhizal synthesis of *Tuber pseudohimalayense* and *T. pseudoexcavatum*, two truffles from Asia. p. 113, in Second International Conference on Mycorrhizae. ICOM II, Abstracts, 5 to 10 July 1998, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Manjón JL, Moreno G, Díez J, García-Montero LG. 1995. *Tuber indicum* Cooke & Massee en España: una especie comercializada con características similares a nuestra trufa negra. Boletín Sociedad Micologica de Madrid 20: 301–304.
- Moreno G, Manjón JL, Díez J, García-Montero LG, Di Massimo G. 1997. *Tuber pseudohimalayense* sp. nov. An Asiatic species commercialised in Spain, similar to Perigord truffle. Mycotaxon 63: 217–224.
- Munsell MD. 1976. Soil color charts. Kollmorgen Corporation, Baltimore. 32 pp.
- Rinaldi AC, Comandini O, Kuyper TW. 2008. Ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity: separating the wheat from the chaff. Fungal Diversity 33: 1–45.
- Riousset L, Riousset G, Chevalier G, Bardet MC. 2001. Truffes d'Europe et de Chine. Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique INRA, Paris. 181 pp.
- Sandhu GS, Kline BC, Stockman L, Roberts GD. 1995. Molecular probes for diagnosis of fungal infection. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 33: 2913–2919.
- Van Tuinen D, Zhao B, Gianinazzi-Pearson V. 1998. PCR studies of AM fungi, from studies to application. pp. 387–400, in Mycorrhiza Manual (A.Varma, ed.). Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Wang Y, Hall IR. 2001. Tuber sinense and other species from South-West China. IN Actes du Ve Congres International Science et Culture de la Truffe, Aix-en-Provence, 4–6 Mars 1999. Edited by Corvoisier M, Olivier JM, Chevalier G, Federation Francaise des trufficulteurs, Paris. pp. 115–116.
- Wang Y, Moreno G, Riousset L, Riousset G, Manjón JL, Riousset G, Fourré G, Di Massimo G, García-Montero LG, Díez J. 1998. *Tuber pseudoexcavatum* sp. nov. an chinese species commercialised in Spain, France and Italy with comments on chinese truffles. Cryptogamie Mycologie 19: 113–120.
- Wang Y, Tan ZM, Zhang DG, Murat C, Jeandroz S, Le Tacon F. 2006a. Phylogenetic and populational study of the *Tuber indicum* complex. Mycological Research 110: 1034–1045.
- Wang Y, Tan Z, Zhang DC, Murat C, Jeandroz S, Tacon F. 2006b. Phylogenetic relationships between *Tuber pseudoexcavatum*, a Chinese truffle, and other *Tuber* species based on parsimony and distance analysis of four different gene sequences. FEMS Microbiology Letters 259: 269–281.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *In* PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Edited by Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ. Academic Press, New York. pp. 315–322.
- Zambonelli A, Salomoni S, Pisi AM. 1993. Caratterizzazione anatomo-morfologica delle micorrize di *Tuber* spp. su *Quercus pubescens* Willd. Micologia Italiana 22: 73–90.
- Zambonelli A, Tibiletti E, Pisi AM. 1997. Caratterizzazione anatomo-morfologica della micorriza di *Tuber indicum* Cooke & Massee su *Pinus pinea* L. and *Quercus cerris* L. Micologia Italiana 1: 29–36.
- Zhang BC, Minter DW. 1988. *Tuber himalayense* sp. nov. with notes on Himalayan truffles. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 91:593–597.
- Zhang LF, Yang ZL, Song DS. 2005. A phylogenetic study of commercial Chinese truffles and their allies: taxonomic implications. FEMS Microbiology Letters 245: 85–92.